Publication date: 5th September, 2014

Country: Republic of Kenya

Executing Agency: Kenya Urban Roads Authority (KURA)

Name of Project/Study: MULTINATIONAL: NAIROBI OUTER RING ROAD (C59) IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Loan/Grant Number: 2100150030144

Project ID No: P – KE – DBO – 020

Name of Request for Proposals (RFP): CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN REVIEW AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION OF OUTER RING ROAD (C59) IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Selection Method: Quality and Cost Based Selection Method (QCBS)

Date of publication of EOI: 11th September 2013

Date of publication of RFP: 17th January, 2014

Technical Proposals opening date: 24th February, 2014

Financial Proposals opening date: 25th June, 2014

Date of approval by the Bank of the draft negotiated Contract: 22nd August, 2014.

Name of Selected Consultant: Lea International Ltd, Canada in Joint Venture with Lea Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd, India

Nationality: Canada/India

Address: B-1/E-27; Mohan Co-operative Industrial Estate Mathura Road, New Delhi -110044, India

Contract Award Price: USD: 4,171,450.00 and KES: 2,950,000.0

Contract Start date: Fourteen (14) days after order to commence

Contract Duration: Thirty Six (36) months
Summary of Scope of Contract Awarded:
The Consultant shall be fully responsible for the design review and supervision of the construction in accordance with the African Development Banks Harmonized Edition of the FIDIC Conditions of Contract for Construction. The Consultant shall, in general, exercise the powers of the Engineer in all matters concerning the contract and the execution of the works. He shall supervise the construction works with due diligence and efficiency and in accordance with sound technical, administrative, financial and economic practices. He shall perform all duties associated with such tasks to ensure that only the best construction practices are followed and that the final product is in all respects equal to, or better than that specified, at the most economic costs and is carried out in full compliance with the governing specifications.

Total Number of Proposals Received: Six (6)

For each Consultant, evaluation was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder’s Name</th>
<th>Country of Lead Firm</th>
<th>Address of Lead Firm</th>
<th>Technical Score (%)</th>
<th>Financial Score (%)</th>
<th>Weighted Combined Score (%)</th>
<th>Evaluated Price</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lea International Canada &amp; Lea Associates South Asia</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>B-1/F-27; Mohan Co-operative Industrial Estate Mathura Road, New Delhi -110044, India</td>
<td>81.12</td>
<td>94.87</td>
<td>83.87</td>
<td>US$ : 4,171,450.00 and KES : 2,950,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasan Consultants Ltd &amp; IDC Engineering Management Ltd</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Dasan B/D, 107, Munjeong- dong, Songpa-gu, Seoul 138-200, Korea</td>
<td>76.55</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>81.24</td>
<td>US$ : 3,990,000.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APEC consortium Ltd &amp; H.P.Gauff Ingeniene GMBH &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>1st Floor, Mapera Court Langata Road, OPP. Uhuru Gardens P.O.Box 3786-00100 Nairobi-Kenya</td>
<td>80.40</td>
<td>69.31</td>
<td>78.18</td>
<td>€:1,200,218.23 and KES: 354,360,906.25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIBB International &amp; Eptisa</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>1st Floor, Kaka House, Maua Close, Off Parklands Road P.O. Box 30020 – 00100 Nairobi, Kenya</td>
<td>76.77</td>
<td>65.77</td>
<td>74.57</td>
<td>US$ : 1,696,450.25 and KES : 377,010,681.15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Techniplan &amp; CAS Consultants Ltd</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Via Guido d’Arezzo, 14-00198 ROMA, ITALIA</td>
<td>73.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheladia Associates &amp; Mangat I.B. Patel</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>15825 Shady Grove Road Suite 100 Rockville, MD 20850 USA</td>
<td>71.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N/A*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes to the table:
N/A* - The bidder failed to proceed to financial evaluation for not attaining a minimum score of 75% in the technical evaluation.

Any consultant who wishes to ascertain the grounds, on which its proposal was not selected, should request an explanation from the Executing Agency. In any event, the Bank reserves the right to review any complaint of a bidder at any time following the award.